FRITZ KOPPENBERGER	§ §	
vs.	§ §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:16-CV-298
STATE AUTO PROPERTY & CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY AND PAUL MOINOT	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	

NOTICE OF REMOVAL PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441(a), 1446, 1332(a)

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1441(a), 1446 and 1332(a), State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company (hereinafter "State Auto"), submits this Notice of Removal, based on diversity jurisdiction, to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division, of the action styled *Fritz Koppenberger v. State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company and Paul Moinot*, and in support thereof, would respectfully show this Court as follows:

I. Introduction

- 1.1 Plaintiff is Fritz Koppenberger (hereinafter "Plaintiff"); Defendant is State Auto Property& Casualty Insurance Company (hereinafter "State Auto").
- Plaintiff is the owner of property located at 14802 Buckskin Bridge Ct., Sugarland, Texas 77498. Plaintiff filed a claim for hail and wind damage to said property. After asking State Auto to cover the cost of repairs, Plaintiff alleges that State Auto failed to pay policy proceeds allegedly owed pursuant to the terms of the policy.

- On or about March 11, 2015, Plaintiff sued Defendant in a suit styled *Fritz Koppenberger v. State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company and Paul Moinot*, Cause Number 15-DCV-221831, in the 400th Judicial District Court in Fort Bend County, Texas and alleged, through various causes of action, that State Auto breached its contract of insurance with Plaintiff, violated various provisions of the Texas Insurance Code, violated the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, committed common law bad faith, engaged in unfair insurance practices, and committed said violations of the insurance code knowingly.
- 1.4 Plaintiff contends that Moinot violated the Texas Insurance Code Chapter 541 and the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices only.
- On or about April 10, 2015, Defendant State Auto filed an Original Answer, Special Denials, Special Exceptions, and Affirmative Defenses, in response to Plaintiff's Petition. On May 13, 2015, Defendant State Auto filed an amended answer.
- On or about January 6, 2016, by and through an email from counsel for Plaintiff, Defendant State Auto was notified that Plaintiff had no intention to serve Paul Moinot and to effectively consider him nonsuited without prejudice. See a true and correct copy of the email correspondence and recent correspondence requesting service on Mr. Moinot, attached hereto as Exhibit "I." At that time, counsel for State Auto requested that Plaintiff counsel stipulate that the damages sought were less than \$75,000.00. In response, Counsel for Defendant State Auto received correspondence requesting service on Mr. Moinot. Plaintiff's counsel indicated no desire to serve Mr. Moinot until removal to Federal Court was presented as State Auto's chosen response to the nonsuit of Moinot. As a result of the case becoming removable on January 6, 2016, Defendant State Auto files this notice of removal within the 30-day time period required by 28 U.S.C. §1446(b)(3) and within one year of commencement of the action.

II. Basis for Removal

- Removal is proper because there is complete diversity between the current parties to the suit. 28 U.S.C. §1332(a); *Johnson v. Columbia Props. Anchorage, L.P.*, 437 F.3d 894, 899-900 (9th Cir. 2006). Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of the State of Texas. Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company is a citizen of Ohio, with its place of incorporation and principal place of business in Ohio. Defendant Moinot has never been served, and was effectively nonsuited without prejudice which was withdrawn by Plaintiff's counsel in light of State Auto's intent to remove this case to this Court. Because of the circumstances surrounding the allegations, service, and pursuit of claims against Defendant Moinot, all indications are that he was improperly joined in this action, as discussed herein.
- Based upon the claims asserted by Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Original Petition filed in the underlying suit, it is believed, and Plaintiff has claimed an amount that exceeds \$75,000.00, excluding interest, and costs. 28 U.S.C. §1332(a); *Andrews v. E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co.*, 447 F.3d 510, 514-15 (7th Cir. 2006). Plaintiff's Petition expressly states that Plaintiff seeks monetary relief over \$100,000.00, but not more than \$200,000.00.
- 2.3 All pleadings, process, orders, and other filings in the State Court action are attached to this notice as Exhibit "2" for all purposes herein as required by 28 U.S.C. §1446(a).
- 2.4 Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1441(a) because the State Court where the action has been pending is located in this district.
- 2.5 Defendant State Auto will promptly file a copy of this Notice of Removal with the clerk of the State Court where the action has been pending.

III. Defendant Moinot was Improperly Joined to Defeat Diversity

- 3.1 The test for improper joinder is whether the defendant has demonstrated that there is no possibility of recovery by the Plaintiff against an in-state Defendant or whether there is no reasonable basis for predicting that the Plaintiff might be able to recover against an in-state Defendant. Smallwood v. Illinois Central Railroad Co., 385 F.3d 568 (5th Cir. 2004). However, whether a Plaintiff has stated a valid cause of action depends upon and is directly connected to the facts alleged by Plaintiff and the pleaded theory of recovery. Griggs v. State Farm Lloyds, 181 F.3d 694, 701 (5ht Cir. 1999). The Griggs Court required that the petition state specific "facts warranting liability" in order to defeat removal. Id. (emphasis added).
- 3.2 The facts alleged in Plaintiff's petition relating to Defendant Moinot do not form the basis of an independent cause of action against him when compared to causes of action available to Plaintiff against State Auto. Plaintiff filed suit against Moinot for essentially the same conduct that he seeks to recover from State Auto—it allegedly undervalued Plaintiff's damages.
- 3.3 Even though an adjuster is a "person" as defined by the Texas Insurance Code, an adjuster cannot be held liable for a violation of the Insurance Code unless he causes an injury distinguishable from the insured's actions. Nasti v. State Farm Lloyds, US. Dist. LEXIS 22059 at *3 (S.D. Tex. 2014); Aguilar v. State Farm Lloyds, U.S. Dist. LEXIS 130384 at *4-*5 (N.D. Tex. 2015); Novelli v. Allstate Texas Lloyd's, U.S. Dist. LEXIS 37418 at *4-*5 (S.D. Tex. 2012) Adjusters, like Moinot will not be held individually liable for the company's decision to pay or deny a claim. See Ardila v. State Farm Lloyds, 2001 WL 34109378 (S.D. Tex. 2001) (citing Coffman v. Scot Wetzel Services, Inc., 908 S.W. 2d 516 (Tex. App.—Fort Worth 1995, no writ) for the proposition that adjusters do not have liability under the DTPA for lack of good faith in processing insurance claims)).

- Furthermore, claims against Moinot for "insufficient investigation and undervaluing the claim, incorporated into a report to [the company], is insufficient to establish the possibility of a claim against him individually for violation of the Texas Insurance Code §541.060(a), the DTPA. . . and common law fraud." See Centro Cristiano Cosecha Final v. The Ohio Casualty Ins. Co., No. 4:10-CV-01846, Memorandum Op. dated January 20, 2011, p. 38.
- The Fifth Circuit held that "in the absence of evidence sufficient to sustain a finding that the employee" himself committed a violation of the Insurance Code and that such violation was a cause of damage or legally recognized harm to the Plaintiff, "there is no reasonable possibility that Texas would allow recovery . . . against an insurance company employee, who in the course and scope of his employment engages in the business of insurance." *Hornbuckle v. State Farm Lloyds*, 385 F.3d 538, 545 (5th Cir. 2004). Various Federal Courts throughout the state have come to the same conclusion. *See Aguilar v. State Farm Lloyds*, 2015 LEXIS 130384 at *7 (N.D. Tex. 2015); *Messersmith v. Nationwide Mutual Fire Ins. Co.*, 10 F. Supp.3d 721, 725 (N.D. Tex. 2014); *see also Lakewood Chiropractic Clinic v. Travelers Lloyds Insurance Company*, 2009 WL 3602043 at *4 (S.D. Tex. 2009) (holding that conclusory allegations regarding "some involvement" were insufficient); *Ford v. Property & Casualty Insurance Company of Hartford*, 2009 WL 4825222 (S.D. 2009) (pleading that individual Defendant was an adjuster assigned to investigate was insufficient);
- Moreover, post-loss statements regarding coverage are not misrepresentations under the Insurance Code. Texas Mut. Ins. Co. v. Ruttiger, 381 S.W.3d 430, 445-46 (Tex. 2012); One Way Investments, Inc. v. Centruy Surety Co., U.S. Dist. LEXIS 171357 (N.D. Tex. 2014); see also Provident Am. Ins. Co. v. Casteneda, 988 S.W.2d 189, 200, n. 55 (Tex. 1990), overruled on other grounds, Crown Life Ins. Co, v. Casteel, 22 S.W.3d 378 (Tex. 2000); Royal Globe Ins. Co.

v. Bar Consultants, Inc., 577 S.W. 2d 688, 694-95 (Tex. 1979); Allstate Indem. Co. v. Hyman, 2006 WL 694014 at *8 (Tex. App.—Texarkana 2006, no pet.); Avila v. Loya, 2005 WL 1902120 at *5 (Tex. App.—Amarillo 2005, no pet.); Gulf States Underwriters of La., Inc. v. Wilson, 753 S.W.2d 422, 430 (Tex. App.—Beaumont 1988, writ denied).

3.7 The factual allegations in Plaintiff's petition are insufficient to support a cause of action against Moinot. Plaintiff alleges in his petition the following regarding Mr. Moinot:

Moinot was assigned as an individual adjuster on the claim, conducted a substandard investigation and inspection of the property, prepared a report that failed to include all of the damages that he noted during the inspection, and undervalued the damages he observed.

See Plaintiff's Original Petition, p. 3, paragraph 11. Furthermore, Plaintiff alleges that "Moinot's unreasonable investigation led to the underpayment of Plaintiff's claim." See id. at p. 3, paragraph 12.

3.8 No where in the Petition does Plaintiff illustrate, allude, or reference any action, involvement, statement, alleged misrepresentations, or activity that would give rise to an independent cause of action against Moinot. In fact, the factual allegations against Moinot included in the Petition are the precise claims that Courts have held do not give rise to an independent cause of action, and do not defeat diversity jurisdiction. See supra paragraphs 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, and 3.6.

IV. Jury Demand

4.1 Plaintiff did demand a jury in the State Court action.

As there is complete diversity between the current parties to the suit as of January 6, 2016, Defendant Moinot was improperly joined, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company requests that the

Court remove this action from the 400th Judicial District Court in Fort Bend County, Texas to the to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division.

Respectfully submitted this 3rd day of February, 2016.

/s/Charles B. Mitchell, Jr.

CHARLES B. MITCHELL, JR.

State Bar No. 14207000 Federal ID No.: 16627

MICHAEL SHANE O'DELL

State Bar No. 24065835

Naman, Howell, Smith & Lee, PLLC

405 Fort Worth Club Building

306 West 7th Street

Fort Worth, Texas 76102-4911

Telephone: 817.509-2040 Facsimile: 817.509-2060

E-mail: charles.mitchell@namanhowell.com

E-mail: sodell@namanhowell.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT STATE AUTO PROPERTY & CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing was served upon counsel of record for Plaintiff, via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, in accordance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on the 3rd day of February, 2016.

VIA CM/RRR

Richard Daly Daly and Black, P.C. 2211 Norfolk Street, Suite 800 Houston, TX 77098

/s/ Charles B. Mitchell, Jr.

CHARLES B. MITCHELL, JR.

EXHIBIT "1"

Charlie Mitchell

From: Sheldon Wayne [swayne@dalyblack.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 06, 2016 12:31 PM

To: Charlie Mitchell Cc: Patty Rien

Subject: RE: Koppenberger v. State Auto

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up

Flag Status: Flagged

Charles – Barring any unforeseen circumstances, we don't intend on serving Moinot in the foreseeable future. Consider him effectively non-suited without prejudice.

Best,

Sheldon

From: Charlie Mitchell [mailto:charles.mitchell@namanhowell.com]

Sent: Wednesday, January 06, 2016 7:29 AM
To: Sheldon Wayne <swayne@dalyblack.com>
Cc: Patty Rien <pri>prien@namanhowell.com>
Subject: RE: Koppenberger v. State Auto

Sheldon:

To be clear it is your client's position that he will not serve and will not prosecute the claims made against Paul Moinot contained in your current petition. Please confirm this.

Charles B. Mitchell, Jr., Esq.

Naman Howell Smith & Lee, PLLC Fort Worth Club Building 306 West 7th Street, Suite 405 Fort Worth, Texas 76102-4911 (817)509-2025 (main) (817)509-2040 (direct) (817)509-2060 (fax)

Email: charles.mitchell@namanhowell.com

Licensed in Texas and Arkansas



Naman Howell
Smith&Lee, PLLC,
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
| Austin | Ft. Worth | San Antonio | Waco |

To Learn More visit www.namanhowell.com



February 1, 2016

Fort Bend County District Clerk 301 Jackson Street Richmond TX 77469 ATTN: CIVIL

RE: Cause No. 15-DCV-221831; Fritz Koppenberger v. State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company and Paul Moinot; In the 400th Judicial District Court of Fort Bend County, Texas

Dear Fort Bend County District Clerk:

On March 11, 2015, Plaintiff's Original Petition was filed and a request for issuance of service was also made with proper payment being made at that time. However, to date Mr. Paul Moinot has not been served.

Therefore, it is being requested again that your office issue a citation for service upon the following defendant:

Paul Moinot 5922 B. Kansas Street Houston, Texas 77007

Once the citation is ready, kindly hold it for pick-up and we will have him served privately. An on-line payment of \$8.00 is being made for service. If you have any questions, please contact my office. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Laurie R. Gillespie

Laurie R. Gillespie



ANNIE REBECCA ELLIOTT Fort Bend County District Clerk 301 Jackson, Richmond, TX 77469

REQUEST FOR PROCESS

All sections m	nust be completed for processing	this request.
Cause No. 15-DCV-221831 Style:	- E	Date 02/01/2016
FRITZ KOPPENBERGER		
VS STATUE AUTO PROPERT	Y & CASUALTY INSURANC	E COMPANY, ET AL.
Section 2: Check Process Type:		
Application for Protective Order	/ Notice of Hearing	Order
☐ Citation by Publication* - News	paper:	
* (All publications are sent to: <u>India Heral</u> * (Unless another newspaper is specific APPLICATION FOR ISSUANCE Of Section 3:	<u>d Inc.</u> 10701 Corporate Drive, Suite 282 • ed – FBC Constable will <u>only</u> serve within t	Sugar Land, Texas 77487) their jurisdiction.)
Title of Document/Pleading to Plaintiff's Original Petition	to be attached for service:	
Note: You must furnish on	e copy of the document/pleading	Creading party sarred
Section 4: PARTIES TO BE SERV	ED (Please type or print):	
1. Name: Paul M. Moinot		
Address: 5922 B. Kansas	Street	
City: Houston	State: TX	7. 77007
2. Name:		Zip: 77007
Address:		
City:	State:	Zip:
Request Process Form Revised: 2013/12	2 - 10	4:P

3. Name:			
Address:			
City:	St		
4. Name:		tate: Zip:	
Address:			
City:		ate:Zip:	
5. Name:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Address:			
City:		ate:Zip:	
Section 5			
Check Service Type:			
No Service		Secretary of State	
Sheriff		Commissioner of Insurance	
Constable Pct		Out of County	
Out of State		Private Process	
Certified Mail		Registered Mail (Out of Country)	
Section 6 (ONLY if Section 7 does not apply)			
Attorney Name: Richard D. Daly, DALY 8	& BLA	CK, P.C.	
Address: 2211 Norfolk Street, Suite 800	Stepot//	P.O. Box	
Houston City		<u>77098</u>	
Attorney's Telephone No. 713-655-1405		Attorney's Bar No. 00796429	
Section 7 (ONLY if Section 6 does not apply)			
Pro-Se Name:	·		
Address:	Street/P	P.O. Box	
City	-		
Telephone No		Zip	
ection 8			
Check Delivery Type:			
Hold for pick up Mail to Attorn	еу	☐ Mail to Pro-Se Party	

Request Process Form Revised: 2013/12 EXHIBIT "2"

FRITZ KOPPENBERGER	§	
	§ 8	
vs.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:16-CV-298
STATE AUTO PROPERTY &	§	
CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY	8 8	
AND PAUL MOINOT	§	

APPENDIX/INDEX OF STATE COURT PLEADINGS

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

A.	State Court Register of Actions listing all 1 1 1 Cl. 1:	Τ
В.	State Court Register of Actions listing all pleadings filed in the case	
C.	Certified Copy of the State Court Docket Sheet	
D.	Plaintiff's Original Petition	3/11/15
	Civil Case Information Sheet	3/11/15
E.	Request for Process regarding issuance of Citations for Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company	3/11/15
F.	Citation for Defendant Paul Moinot	
G.		3/13/15
H.	Un-executed Return of Service for Defendant Paul Moinot	3/30/15
	Citation for Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company	3/13/15
I.	Return of Service for Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company	3/23/15
J.	Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Insured's Failure to Appraise	4/10/15
K.	Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured	4/10/15
L.	Fiat Setting Hearing Date for Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for the Insured's Failure to Appraise and it's Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured	4/10/15
M.	Defendant State Auto Property and Casualty Insurance Company's letter to the Court regarding the filing of Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for the Insured's Failure to Appraise, Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured	4/10/15
N.	Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Original Answer, Special Denials, Affirmative Defenses, Special	4/10/15

T. U. V	Exceptions, Request for Disclosure, and Jury Demand Subject to and Without Waiving it's Previously Filed Motion to Abate Notice of Hearings on Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured and it's Plea in Abatement for Failure to Appraise Plaintiff's Response to Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Failure to Appraise Plaintiff's Response to Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea In Abatement for Absence of Named Insured Proposed Order on Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Insured's Failure to Appraise Conformed Order Denying Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Certificate of Written Discovery Subject to and Without Waiving Defendant's Pleas in Abatement Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Amended Answer Special Devices	4/17/15 5/6/15
P. Q. R. S. T. U. V. X.	Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured and it's Plea in Abatement for Failure to Appraise Plaintiff's Response to Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Failure to Appraise Plaintiff's Response to Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea In Abatement for Absence of Named Insured Proposed Order on Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Insured's Failure to Appraise Conformed Order Denying Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Certificate of Written Discovery Subject to and Without Waiving Defendant's Pleas in Abatement Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's	5/6/15 5/6/15 5/6/15 6/16/15
Q. R. S. V. V. X. J	Plaintiff's Response to Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea In Abatement for Absence of Named Insured Proposed Order on Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Insured's Failure to Appraise Conformed Order Denying Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Certificate of Written Discovery Subject to and Without Waiving Defendant's Pleas in Abatement Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Certificate of Written Discovery Subject to and Without Waiving Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's	5/6/15 5/6/15 6/16/15 5/8/15
R. S. T. U. W	Plaintiff's Response to Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea In Abatement for Absence of Named Insured Proposed Order on Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Insured's Failure to Appraise Conformed Order Denying Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Certificate of Written Discovery Subject to and Without Waiving Defendant's Pleas in Abatement Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's	5/6/15 6/16/15 5/8/15
S. T. U. V. X.	Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Insured's Failure to Appraise Conformed Order Denying Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Certificate of Written Discovery Subject to and Without Waiving Defendant's Pleas in Abatement Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's	6/16/15 5/8/15
T. U. V	Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Certificate of Written Discovery Subject to and Without Waiving Defendant's Pleas in Abatement Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's	5/8/15
U. V	Defendant's Pleas in Abatement Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's	
V	Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's	5/13/15
W. 1	Amended Answer, Special Denials, Affirmative Defenses, Special Exceptions, and Jury Demand Subject to and Without Waiving it's Previously Filed Motions to Abate	
W	Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Amended Verified Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured	5/13/15
X.]	Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Amended Verified Plea in Abatement for Insured's Failure to Appraise	5/13/15
	Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's letter to the Court regarding the Notice of Hearings regarding the June 8 th , 2015 hearings on the Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Amended Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured and it's Amended Plea in Abatement for Failure to Appraise	5/15/15
1 N A	Notice of Hearings on Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Amended Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured and it's Amended Plea in Abatement for Failure to Appraise	5/15/15
C	Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Certificate of Written Discovery Subject to and Without Waiving Pleas in Abatement	5/19/15
aa. F	Plaintiff's Response to Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty	6/5/15

bb.	Proposed Order on Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty	6/5/15
	insurance Company's Amended Plea in Abatement for Insured's	0/3/13
	Failure to Appraise	
cc.	Plaintiff's Response to Defendant State Auto Property's Amended	6/5/15
···	Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured	0/3/13
dd.	Proposed Order on Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty	6/5/15
	Insurance Company's Amended Plea in Abatement for Absence of	0/3/13
	Named Insured	
ee.	Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's	1/22/16
	letter to the Court regarding Defendant State Auto Property &	1/22/10
	Casualty Insurance Company's request for a Certified Copy of the	
	Docket Sheet and the payment regarding same	
ff.	Letter to the Court from Plaintiff's counsel regarding the request for	2/1/16
	issuance of Citation for Service upon the Defendant Paul Moinot	2,1710
gg.	Plaintiff's Request for Process regarding issuance of Citation for	2/1/16
····	Defendant Paul Moinot	2/1/10
hh.	Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's	2/3/16
	Notice of Filing Notice of Removal to the United States District Court	2/3/10
****	for the Southern District of Texas Houston Division	

Respectfully submitted this 3rd day of February, 2016.

/s/ Charles B. Mitchell, Jr.

CHARLES B. MITCHELL, JR.

State Bar No. 14207000 Federal ID No.: 16627

MICHAEL SHANE O'DELL

State Bar No. 24065835

Naman, Howell, Smith & Lee, PLLC

405 Fort Worth Club Building

306 West 7th Street

Fort Worth, Texas 76102-4911

Telephone: 817.509-2040 Facsimile: 817.509-2060

E-mail: charles.mitchell@namanhowell.com

E-mail: sodell@namanhowell.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT STATE AUTO PROPERTY & CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing has been served on this 3rd day of February, 2016 as follows via certified mail, return receipt requested and via the Court's ECF system; which sent notification to the following:

Via CMRRR No. 7013 2250 0002 1956 3310 Richard D. Daly Daly and Black, P.C. 2211 Norfolk St., Suite 800 Houston, Texas 77098

/s/ Charles B. Mitchell, Jr.

CHARLES B. MITCHELL, JR.

FRITZ KOPPENBERGER	§ §	
VS.	§ §	CIVIL ACTION NO.
STATE AUTO PROPERTY & CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY AND PAUL MOINOT	§ § §	

EXHIBIT "A"

Skip to Main Content Logout My Account Search Menu New Civil Search Refine Search Back

Location : Fort Bend Images Help

REGISTER OF ACTIONS CASE No. 15-DCV-221831

Fritz Koppenberger vs State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance

Company and Paul Moinot

§ § ŝ §

Case Type: Contract - Other Contract Date Filed: 03/11/2015

Location: 400th District Court

PARTY INFORMATION

Defendant or Moinot, Paul

Respondent

Houston, TX 77007

Defendant or

State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance

Respondent Company

Austin, TX 78701

Retained 817-509-2025(W)

Attorneys

Defendant or State Auto Property & Casualty insurance

Company Respondent

Austin, TX 78701 Removed: 04/10/2015

Other

Charles B Mitchell, Jr

Charles B Mitchell, Jr

Retained

817-509-2025/\\\\

Respondent

Defendant or State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance

Company

Austin, TX 78701 Removed: 04/10/2015

Other

Charles B Mitchell, J.

Retained 817 509 2025(M)

Plaintiff or

Koppenberger, Fritz

Petitioner

Richard D Daly Retained 713-655-1405(W)

EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

OTHER EVENTS AND HEARINGS

03/11/2015 **Docket Sheet**

Docket Sheet

Doc ID# 1 03/11/2015 <u>Petition</u>

Plaintiff's Original Petition 03/11/2015 Case Information Sheet

Civil Case Information Sheet

03/11/2015 Request Doc ID# 2

Request for Process 03/13/2015 Issuance Doc ID# 3

Citation by C/M Issued to Paul Moinot (Unable to Forward 03-30-15)

03/13/2015 Citation CMRRR# 7196 9008 9115 6076 6865

Moinot, Paul

Unserved

03/13/2015 Doc ID# 4 ssuance

Citation by C/M Issued to State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company

03/13/2015

CMRRR# 9414 7266 9904 2953 8519 32

State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company

Served Returned 03/19/2015 03/23/2015

04/10/2015 Answer/Contest/Response/Waiver Doc ID# 5

Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Original Answer, Special Denials, Affirmative Defenses, Special Exceptions,

Request for Disclosure, and Jury Demand, Subject to and Without Waiving It's Previously Filed Motions to Abate

04/10/2015 Answer/Contest/Response/Waiver Doc ID# 6

Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Insured's Failure to Appraise

04/10/2015 Letters Cover Letter

04/10/2015 Proposed Order

05/06/2015

Doc ID# 7 Fiat Setting the Hearing Date

Answer/Contest/Response/Waiver 04/10/2015 Doc ID# 8

Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Absence for a Named Insured

04/17/2015 Doc ID# 9 Notice

Notice of Hearing

Answer/Contest/Response/Waiver Doc ID# 10

Plaintiff's Response to Defendant State Auto Property's Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured

05/06/2015 Answer/Contest/Response/Waiver Doc ID# 12

Plaintiff's Response to Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Plea in Abatement for Failure to Appraise 05/06/2015

Proposed Order Doc ID# 13

	Order
05/08/201	5 Certificate Doc ID# 14
	Certificate of Written Discovery, Subject to and Without Defendant's Place in Abote month
05/11/201	of minearing (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officers Vacek, Clifford J. Perez-Jaramillo, Maggie)
	PLEA IIV ABATEMENT
05/13/201	5 Amended Filing Doc ID# 15
	Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Amended Answer, Special Denials, Affirmative Defenses, Special Exceptions and Jury Demand Subject to and Without Weiging its proving the first Medican Company's Amended Answer, Special Denials, Affirmative Defenses, Special Exceptions
05/49/904/	
U3/13/20 1	Amended Filing Doc ID# 16
05/13/201	Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Amended Verified Plea in Abatement for Absence of a Named Insured Amended Filing Doc ID# 17
70, 70,2010	
05/15/2015	Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Amended Verified Plea In Abatement for Insured's Failure to Appraise
	Cover Letter
05/15/2016	
	Notice of Hearings
05/19/2015	Certificate Doc ID# 19
06/06/2016	Certificate of Written Discovery Subject to and Without Waiving Defendant's Pleas in Abatement
00/03/2015	Anamen Contest Mesponse/Walver Doc 10# 20
	Plaintiff's Response to Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Amended Plea in Abatement for Insureds Failure to
06/05/2015	Proposed Order Doc ID# 21
	Order (Plaintiff's Response to Defendant State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company's Amended Plea in Abatement for Insureds Failure to Appraise)
06/05/2015	Answer/Contest/Response/Waiver Doc ID# 22
50(05(0045	Plaintiff's Response to Defendant State Auto Property's Amended Plea in Abatement For Absence of Named Insured
00/05/2015	Tropodes order Duc ion 23
06/08/2015	Order (Plaintiff's Response to Defendant State Auto Property's Amended Plea in Abatement For Absence of Named Insured)
00/00/20 13	Twin tooming (1.00 FW) (Judicial Officers Vacer Chillord I Perez-Jaramilio Maggio)
	DEFENDANT'S AMENDED PLEA IN ABATEMENT FOR ABSENCE OF NAMED INSURED AND IT'S AMENDED PLEA IN ABATEMENT FOR FAILURE TO APPRAISE
06/16/2015	
	Order (Plaintiff's Response to Defendant State Auto Property's Plea in Abatement for Absence of Named Insured) - DENIED
01/22/2016	
02/01/2016	CC of Docket Sheet was Mailed to Charles B. Mitchell Jr on 01-26-16
02/01/2016	Cover Letter
02/01/2016	
	Request for Process
02/02/2016	
	Citation Issued To Paul Moinot
02/02/2016	
	Hold For Pick-up
02/02/2040	Moinot, Paul Unserved
02/02/2016	
	Attachment Notice

FINANCIAL	

	Defendant or Responde	nt State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company		
	Total Financial Assessme Total Payments and Cred Balance Due as of 02/02	nt its		19.00 19.00 0.00
04/13/2015	Transaction Assessment			
04/13/2015 04/13/2015	Transaction Assessment	Receipt # 2015-19000-DCLK	State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company	2.00 (2.00)
04/13/2015 04/20/2015	Transaction Assessment	Receipt # 2015-19003-DCLK	State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company	2.00 (2.00)
04/20/2015 05/11/2015	Transaction Assessment	Receipt # 2015-20955-DCLK	State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company	2.00 (2.00)
05/11/2015 05/14/2015	Transaction Assessment	Receipt # 2015-24932-DCLK	State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company	2.00 (2.00)
05/14/2015 05/14/2015	Transaction Assessment	Receipt # 2015-25684-DCLK	State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company	2.00 (2.00)
05/14/2015 05/18/2015	Transaction Assessment	Receipt # 2015-25808-DCLK	State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company	2.00 (2.00)
05/18/2015 05/20/2015	Transaction Assessment	Receipt # 2015-26285-DCLK	State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company	2.00 (2.00)
05/20/2015 01/25/2016	Transaction Assessment	Receipt # 2015-26846-DCLK	State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company	2,00 (2.00)
01/25/2016	E-filing	Receipt # 2016-04537-DCLK	State Auto Property & Casualty Insurance Company	3.00 (3.00)
ı	Plaintiff or Petitioner Kop	Nonhorner Frit		
	Total Financial Assessmen	t		
	Total Payments and Credit	s		558.00
	Balance Due as of 02/02/2	2016		558.00 0.00
03/11/2015	Transaction Assessment			512.00

03/11/2015 05/06/2015	E-filing Transaction Assessment	Receipt # 2015-12729-DCLK	Koppenberger, Fritz	(512.00)
05/06/2015 05/06/2015	E-filing	Receipt # 2015-24370-DCLK	Koppenberger, Fritz	2.00 (2.00)
05/06/2015 05/07/2015	E-filing	Receipt # 2015-24371-DCLK	Koppenberger, Fritz	2.00 (2.00)
05/07/2015 05/07/2015	E-filing	Receipt # 2015-24399-DCLK	Koppenberger, Fritz	2.00 (2.00)
05/07/2015 06/05/2015	E-filing	Receipt # 2015-24400-DCLK	Koppenberger, Fritz	2.00 (2.00)
06/05/2015 02/01/2016	E-filing	Receipt # 2015-30369-DCLK	Koppenberger, Fritz	2.00 (2.00)
02/01/2016		Receipt # 2016-05830-DCLK	Koppenberger, Fritz	36.00 (36.00)

FRITZ KOPPENBERGER	§	
	§	
	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO.
CUE A PETE A VIOLO TO TO	§	
STATE AUTO PROPERTY &	§.	
CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY	8	
AND PAUL MOINOT	8	

EXHIBIT "B"



DOCKET

					UA.	USE NO.	15-UCV	-221831		
	OURT N	***	STYLE OF CASE	ATTORNEYS	TYPE OF ACTION	DATE OF FILING				
	400TH JUDICIAL FRITZ KOPPENBERGER VS STATE AUTO PROPERTY & CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY AND PAUL MOINOT			RICHARD D DALY	Contract - Other Contract	MONTH	DAY	YEAR		
STENOGRAPHER USED?		USED?		DALY & BLACK PC 2211 NORFOLK ST SUITE 800		03/11/2015				
YES	YES NO			HOUSTON TX 77098						
DATE OF ORDERS			-	742 CEE AADE		JURY FEE: PAID BY:				
		CDC		713-655-1405						
 			ORDERS OF COURT							
MONTH	DAY	YEAR		ORDERS OF COOK!						
06	16	15	D's Plea in Aboute men	t for Absence of Na	med In San	red 1	's de	ned.		
			D's flea in Abate men Order Signed. D'S Approuse is devied.	Plea in Abate many	for fair	luxe -	to			
			Approise is devied.	Order Signed. y						
				<i>0</i>						
						And the second s				
-					I, Annie Rebecca Elllott, D	strict Clerk o	of for de	nd		
	_				County, Texas do he foregoing is a true, corre instrument herein set out	ct and full of as appears	copy of the call record	he in		
					instrument herein set out the District Court of Fort This 2 day of 2 001	Send County	7, Texas 20 14	<i>a</i> .		